#### MODERN COMPUTATIONAL ORACLES

IN

#### **CHEMICAL ENGINEERING**

Nicolas Markatos

School of Chemical Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Greece.

Symposium on New Frontiers in Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Thessaloniki, 26-27 November 2009

# **Outline of the argument**

• *"What will happen if...?"* is the most important question which a conscious being can ask.

Answering it rightly more times than not is what keeps most of us safe, healthy and reasonably prosperous; for it allows us to:

- foresee, and so avoid, dangerous events;
- select, from available options, those which best promote happiness and wellbeing;

and

• create opportunities which **never before existed** 

# **Outline of the argument**

- Society seeks, through education,
  - to inculcate in the young the habit of asking this question; and
  - to convey **how** the right answers can be arrived at, which means to teach to all: **techniques of prediction**.
- In essence, all such techniques are the same: examine the past; and if elements of the present are seen there, suppose that what transpired is likely to happen again.
- Thus: "When last I pulled the tail of a cat, it scratched me; so, if I do it again, another scratch is what I must expect". It is a sound principle.

## **Outline of the argument**

- In ancient times, **oracles** were consulted on matters of importance, as best fitted by age, experience or connections **to foresee**, what the past implied about the impending future.
- That too was a sound principle, for those who could afford the oracle's fees!
- How are these principles applied in Chemical Engineering?
  - If the task in hand involves little novelty, as when one more reactor is to be built for an established and satisfactory production line, simple repetition of past actions is what the principle dictates.
  - But when the performance requirements have changed, exceeding what the old reactor is capable of, **novelty** is needed; and **what is new has**, by definition, **no past to be examined**.
- What to do?

# **Outline of the argument**

- There is however a more general encapsulation of the past, which we call science; and for chemical engineers it takes the form of:
  - laws of conservation of mass, momentum and energy, (Lomonosov, Newton, Joule);
  - laws of transport of those same entities by diffusion, viscous action and heat conduction (Fick, Newton, Fourier);
  - laws of deformation of solids in response to mechanical and thermal stresses (Hooke);
  - laws governing **rates** of **chemical** transformation, and **electrical** and **magnetic** interactions (Arrhenius, Faraday).
- It is such laws, to which the chemical engineer must turn, whenever actions without precedent are contemplated, in order to answer "what will happen if...?" questions.

# Contents of the lecture The present lecture explains: • how simulation-by-computer has become the engineers' favored prediction technique, and • how specialized software packages have become the oracles which they consult. • Two things the modern oracles share with the ancient ones: • they cost money (or sheep, oxen or other currency); and • Their pronouncements are never 100% reliable. • The reasons for both will be explained.





































































# The cost of CFD

#### 2. The hardware

- Nowadays hardware costs have decreased dramatically and **parallel-computing** facilitates the use of **more adequate computational** grids
- Another possibility is to use **remote clusters** via Internet paying for actual services.

In this case it is **only a laptop** that a user needs to solve great multifactor problems.

## The Cost of CFD

#### 3. The personnel

- Nowadays, therefore, it is the **cost** of hiring CFD-literate **personnel** which is the **most serious impediment** to the extension of computer simulation.
- Suitable people are those,
  - who have experience of several packages
  - who recognize that most of their claims to superiority are ill-founded,
  - who understand the limitations from which they all suffer
  - who possess well-balanced commonsense, and

• who are **pragmatically skeptical** and when the occasion arises, they can conclude that

"A particular computer simulation simply must be wrong"

# Why the "Oracles" are not completely reliable

- Grids are inadequate. If a hundred **million** control cells had been used, these cells would still be hardly small enough to represent a **continuous problem** as discrete. Only the largest computer clusters in the world would have been able to handle so many; and the computation would take **for too long** for its outcome to remain of interest.
- Engineers like to think that fuel and oxygen combining form "combustion product" gases but chemists have discovered that a great many of intermediate products are formed in combustion, and the information is too immense.
- Therefore, engineers create **simplified combustion models** and their accuracy always raises doubts.
- The same is true for many physical phenomena such as radiation and turbulence

# Why the "Oracles" are not completely reliable

- Although turbulence has been much studied, none of the current representations are known to correspond with reality in all circumstances.
- This regrettable fact seems likely to remain until some Newton reduces chaos to order.
- Until then all predictions of turbulent flows must be regarded as no more than probable forecasts of "What will happen if...?"
- When chemical reaction and two-phase effects are present the margin for error widens.

# Why the "Oracles" are not completely reliable What is to be done?

- The optimists are impressed by the plausible-seeming attractively-coloured images they produce.
- The pessimists argue that all packages use the **same dubious models** of turbulence, etc, and all are compelled to use **far-too-coarse grids**.

Aristotle's advice is here appropriate: The best lies between the **extremes** It entails recognizing that

the CFD-based predictions are no more than **indicators** of probability, but
they are **immensely better** than the mere guess-work which is mankind's only alternative



### CONCLUSIONS

► Methods now exist for computing complex phenomena with a view of protecting the Environment, saving energy and controlling major hazards.

► Detailed improvement of modeling, and computer processing is continuous, as more reliable physical information becomes available.

► Two-phase computational methods are now as advanced as singlephase ones. It is now feasible to compute for two or more "interpenetrating continua" in 1-, 2-, or 3-dimensions.

► Predictions to-date are encouraging. Further validation of codes is needed; this requires reliable data for complex flows.

► Uncertainty remains for turbulent transport and combustion in single and particularly in two-phase flows. Hypotheses are needed, guided by experimental observations. Numerical computations can effect the comparisons.